# Discrete Flavor Symmetries in D-brane models 
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## Motivation

\% What does String Theory has to say about flavor?

- Hierarchies in mass matrices
example: F-theory GUTs

Diega \& Gianluca's talks

- Flavor symmetries

Revicus:
in particular discrete flavor symmetries
Ishimari et al' 10
Altarelli \& Jeruglia'10
\% Discrete flavor symmetries are are used in BSM model building to

- Explain quark textures and lepton masses and mixings
- Avoid FCNC in the MSSM


## Motivation

\% What does String Theory has to say about flavor?

- Hierarchies in mass matrices
example: F-theory GUTs
Diega \& Gianluca's talks
- Flavor symmetries

Revicus:
in particular discrete flavor symmetries

Ishimari et al' 10
Altarelli \& Jeruglia'10

## Questions:

How generic are discrete flavor symmetries in s.t.? What is their origin? $4 d$ field theory description? which kind of groups \& reps appear?

## Motivation

\% To answer these questions, we must learn to realize discrete symmetries in string theory
\& However, quantum gravity does not seem to like global symmetries
$\downarrow$ microscopic arguments in string theory
see e.g. Banks \& Seiberg'11 Banks \& Dixan' 88
$\downarrow$ general arguments in black hole evaporation
and so, in the context of string theory in order to realize exact symmetries one should look for discrete gauge symmetries

## Motivation

\% To answer these questions, we must learn to realize discrete symmetries in string theory
\& However, quantum gravity does not seem to like global symmetries
$\uparrow$ microscopic arguments in string theory
$\uparrow$ general arguments in black hole evaporation
and so, in the context of string theory in order to realize exact symmetries one should look for discrete gauge symmetries
\& Examples in the literature:
$\uparrow Z_{N}$ symmetries $\subset$ anomalous $U(1)$ 's

- Compactifications with fluxes
$\uparrow$ Compactifications with torsion cycles


## DGS in D-brane models

\% Semi-realistic D-brane models generically contain U(1) gauge symmetries beyond $\mathrm{U}(1) \mathrm{y}$


## DGS in D-brane models

\% Semi-realistic D-brane models generically contain U(1) gauge symmetries beyond $\mathrm{U}(1) \mathrm{r}$
\% Most of them acquire a mass via a Stückelberg mechanism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \supset k B \wedge F & \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Stk}}=\frac{1}{2}(d \phi+k A)^{2} \quad\left(d \phi=*_{4} d B\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad M_{U(1)} \sim M_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

and show up as global $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetries at the perturbative level
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\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \supset k B \wedge F & \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text {Stk }}=\frac{1}{2}(d \phi+k A)^{2} \quad\left(d \phi=*_{4} d B\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad M_{U(1)} \sim M_{s}
\end{aligned}
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and show up as global $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetries at the perturbative level
\% Such symmetries are broken by D-brane instantons, which generate effective couplings forbidden by the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetry

$$
W \sim \Phi^{n k} e^{-2 \pi n T} \quad T=\rho+i \phi \begin{array}{cc}
\text { invariant } & A \rightarrow A+d \lambda \\
\text { under } & T \rightarrow T+i k \lambda
\end{array}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Mechanism to generate suppressed couplings (Yukawas, neutrino Majorana masses ...) Blumenhagen, Cuectic, Weigand 'o6
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\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \supset k B \wedge F & \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text {Stk }}=\frac{1}{2}(d \phi+k A)^{2} \quad\left(d \phi=*_{4} d B\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad M_{U(1)} \sim M_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

and show up as global $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetries at the perturbative level
\% Such symmetries are broken by D-brane instantons, which generate effective couplings forbidden by the $U(1)$ symmetry

$$
W \sim \Phi^{n k} e^{-2 \pi n T} \quad T=\rho+i \phi \quad \begin{array}{clll}
\text { invariant } & A & \rightarrow A+d \lambda \\
\text { under } & T & \rightarrow T+i k \lambda
\end{array}
$$

$\%$ However, if $k$ is non-trivial, they still have to preserve a residual $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$ gauge symmetry $\Rightarrow$ some couplings are forbidden at all levels

## Couplings and symmetries

$\%$ Consequence: The symmetries of a compactification and their nature are relevant for the structure of couplings in the effective theory.
\% Previous example: D-brane $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetries are made massive by a Stückelberg mechanism, only broken by non-perturbative effects $\rightarrow$ to a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{N}$

## Tree level

Non-perturbative
Forbidden

## Discrete Gauge Symmetries in 4d QFT

## Discrete gauge symmetries in 4d

$\because$ Basic Lagrangian for $a \mathbb{Z}_{k}$ gauge symmetry

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}(d \phi-k A)^{2}-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \quad \phi \sim \phi+1
$$

$\because$ Gauging of a shift symmetry by a $\mathrm{U}(1)$

$$
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \lambda \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi+k \lambda
$$

## Discrete gauge symmetries in 4d

$\because$ Basic Lagrangian for $a \mathbb{Z}_{k}$ gauge symmetry

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}(d \phi-k A)^{2}-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \quad \underset{\substack{\phi \\ \text { axion }}}{\phi+1}
$$

$\because$ Gauging of a shift symmetry by a $\mathrm{U}(1)$

$$
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \lambda \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi+k \lambda
$$

$\%$ Dual description:

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} H \wedge * H+k B \wedge F+\frac{1}{2} F \wedge * F \quad\left(d \phi=*_{4} d B\right)
$$

we can read the remaining $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$ symmetry from the coefficient of the BF coupling

## Discrete gauge symmetries in 4d

\% Basic Lagrangian for $a \mathbb{Z}_{k}$ gauge symmetry

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}(d \phi-k A)^{2}-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \quad \phi \sim \phi+1
$$

$\%$ Gauging of a shift symmetry by a $U(1)$

$$
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \lambda \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi+k \lambda
$$

$\%$ On the other hand, we can interpret $k$ as a winding number between the $S^{1}=\mathbb{R} / \Gamma$, where the axion lives and the $U(1)=S^{1}=\mathbb{R} / \Gamma^{\prime}$ of the gauge theory

Discrete gauge symmetry $=\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma^{\prime}}=\mathbf{Z}_{k}$

$$
A \rightarrow A+d \lambda \quad ; \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi+n \lambda
$$

$=$ identifications of $\phi$ not taken into account by the gauge symmetry

## Discrete gauge symmetries in 4d

\% Multiple Abelian case:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi^{a}-k_{i}^{a} A_{\mu}^{i}\right)\left(\partial_{\nu} \phi^{b}-k_{i}^{b} A_{\nu}^{i}\right) \eta^{\mu \nu} \delta_{a b} \\
P=\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma^{\prime}} \rightarrow|P|=\operatorname{det} k
\end{array}
$$



## Discrete gauge symmetries in 4d

\% Multiple Abelian case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi^{a}-k_{i}^{a} A_{\mu}^{i}\right)\left(\partial_{\nu} \phi^{b}\right. & \left.-k_{i}^{b} A_{\nu}^{i}\right) \eta^{\mu \nu} \delta_{a b} \\
P & =\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma^{\prime}} \rightarrow|P|=\operatorname{det} k
\end{aligned}
$$

\% Non-Abelian case:
$\vec{\phi} \simeq \vec{\phi}+\vec{k}_{i} \quad \phi_{a} \simeq \phi_{a}+1$
$\downarrow$ Axion-like scalars with non-commuting shift symmetries

$$
\partial_{\mu} \phi^{a} \partial^{\mu} \phi^{b} G_{a b}(\phi) \quad \phi^{b} \rightarrow \phi^{b}+\epsilon^{A} X_{A}^{b} \quad\left[X_{A}, X_{B}\right]=f_{A B}^{C} X_{C}
$$

Axionic manifold $\rightarrow$ group manifold or quotient by discrete subgroup $\mathrm{M} / \Gamma$
$\downarrow$ Gauging of the axionic manifold: $\partial_{\mu} \phi^{a} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu} \phi^{a}-k_{i}^{a} A_{\mu}^{i}$
$\downarrow$ Discrete gauge symmetry again given by: $P=\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma^{\prime}}$

## An example

$\because$ Simple example: Heisenberg group $\mathscr{H}_{3}$

$$
\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=X_{3}
$$

$\%$ Axionic Lagrangian:

$$
G_{a b}(\phi) \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi=\mathcal{K}_{a b} \eta_{\mu}^{a} \eta^{b}{ }^{\mu}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\mu}^{1} & =\partial_{\mu} \phi^{1} \quad \eta_{\mu}^{2}=\partial_{\mu} \phi^{2} \\
\eta_{\mu}^{3} & =\partial_{\mu} \phi^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi^{1} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{2}-\phi^{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\% \mathscr{H}_{3}$ non-compact but $\mathscr{H}_{3} / \Gamma$ compact $\rightarrow$ twisted 3 -torus

$$
\Gamma: \begin{cases}\phi^{1} \rightarrow \phi^{1}+1 & \phi^{3} \rightarrow \phi^{3}-\frac{\phi^{2}}{2} \\ \phi^{2} \rightarrow \phi^{2}+1 & \phi^{3} \rightarrow \phi^{3}+\frac{\phi^{1}}{2} \\ \phi^{3} \rightarrow \phi^{3}+1 & \end{cases}
$$

## An example

$\because$ Simple example: Heisenberg group $\mathscr{H}_{3}$

$$
\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=X_{3}
$$

\% Axionic Lagrangian:

$$
G_{a b}(\phi) \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi=\mathcal{K}_{a b} \eta_{\mu}^{a} \eta^{b \mu}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{\mu}^{1}=\partial_{\mu} \phi^{1} \quad \eta_{\mu}^{2}=\partial_{\mu} \phi^{2} \\
& \eta_{\mu}^{3}=\partial_{\mu} \phi^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi^{1} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{2}-\phi^{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\% \mathscr{H}_{3}$ non-compact but $\mathscr{H}_{3} / \Gamma$ compact $\rightarrow$ twisted 3 -torus

$$
\Gamma: \begin{cases}\phi^{1} \rightarrow \phi^{1}+1 & \phi^{3} \rightarrow \phi^{3}-\frac{\phi^{2}}{2} \\ \phi^{2} \rightarrow \phi^{2}+1 & \phi^{3} \rightarrow \phi^{3}+\frac{\phi^{1}}{2} \\ \phi^{3} \rightarrow \phi^{3}+1 & \end{cases}
$$

※ Upon gauging: $\quad P=\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma^{\prime}}=\left(\mathbf{Z}_{k} \times \mathbf{Z}_{k}\right) \rtimes \mathbf{Z}_{k}$

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\star \mathrm{k}=2 \rightarrow \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D}_{4} & T_{1}^{k}=T_{2}^{k}
\end{array}=T_{3}^{k}=1 .
$$

## Discrete Flavor Symmetries from D-branes

## DFS \& intersecting branes

$\%$ The discrete symmetries obtained from anomalous U(1)'s are Abelian and flavor-independent
\% One may however also obtain flavor discrete symmetries. These symmetries may be non-Abelian and contain the previous Abelian symmetries as a subgroup.
\% Simple mechanism for family replication: intersecting D-branes


## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

\% Simplest case: intersecting D6-branes on $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2}$

$\therefore$ On each $\mathrm{T}^{2}$


$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{\mu}^{x} \sim g_{\mu}^{x} \\
V_{\mu}^{y} \sim g_{\mu}^{y}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow \quad U(1) \times U(1)
$$

## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

$\because$ Simplest case: intersecting D6-branes on $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2}$

$\%$ On each $\mathrm{T}^{2}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\begin{array}{rl}
V_{\mu}^{x} & \sim g_{\mu}^{x} \\
V_{\mu}^{y} & \sim g_{\mu}^{y}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow \quad U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_{q} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{St}}= \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}-m_{a} V_{\mu}^{x}+n_{a} V_{\mu}^{y}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(n_{a}, m_{a}\right)=\left(1, \begin{array}{r}
x \\
3
\end{array}\right)
$$
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$\because$ On each $T^{2}$
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\left(n_{b}, m_{b}\right)=(1,0)
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$\therefore$ On each $\mathrm{T}^{2}$
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## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

\% Simplest case: intersecting D6-branes on $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2}$

$\%$ On each $T^{2}$
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\left.\begin{array}{rl}
V_{\mu}^{x} \sim g_{\mu}^{x} \\
V_{\mu}^{y} \sim g_{\mu}^{y}
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## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

$\because$ Simplest case: intersecting D6-branes on $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2}$

$\therefore$ On each $\mathrm{T}^{2}$


$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
B_{\mu}^{x} \sim B_{\mu x} \\
B_{\mu}^{y} \sim B_{\mu y}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow U(1) \times U(1)
$$

## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

$\%$ Simplest case: intersecting D6-branes on $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2}$

$\%$ On each $\mathrm{T}^{2}$
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## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

$\because$ Simplest case: intersecting D6-branes on $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2}$

$\because$ On each $T^{2}$

$$
\left(n_{b}, m_{b}\right)=(1,0)
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
B_{\mu}^{x} & \sim B_{\mu x} \\
B_{\mu}^{y} & \sim B_{\mu y}
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\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{St}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \xi_{a}-n_{a} B_{\mu}^{x}-m_{a} B_{\mu}^{y}\right)^{2} \\
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## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

$\because$ Simplest case: intersecting D6-branes on $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2}$

$\because$ On each $\mathrm{T}^{2}$


$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
B_{\mu}^{x} \sim B_{\mu x} \\
B_{\mu}^{y} \sim B_{\mu y}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow \quad P=\mathbb{Z}_{I_{a b}}
$$

$$
g_{\mathcal{W}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & & & & \\
& \omega & & & \\
& & \omega^{2} & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & & & \omega^{I_{a b}-1}
\end{array}\right) \quad \omega=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{I_{a b}}}
$$

## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

\% Simplest case: intersecting D6-branes on $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}^{2}$

$\%$ On each $T^{2}$


$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
B_{\mu}^{x} & \sim B_{\mu x} \\
B_{\mu}^{y} & \sim B_{\mu y}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow \quad P=\mathbb{Z}_{d} \quad d=\text { g.c.d. }\left(I_{a b}, I_{b c}, I_{c a}\right)
$$

## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

\% Flavor group from each $T^{2}$


$$
\begin{gathered}
P=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{N}=H_{N} \\
g_{\tau}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & & & \\
& 1 & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
1 & & & & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad g_{N}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & & & \\
& \omega & & & \\
& & \omega^{2} & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & & & \omega^{N-1}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

\% In total


$$
P=H_{N_{1}} \times H_{N_{2}} \times H_{N_{3}}
$$

## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

\% Flavor group from each $T^{2}$
\% In total


$$
\begin{gathered}
P=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{N}=H_{N} \\
g_{\mathcal{T}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& 1 & & & \\
& & 1 & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
1 & & & & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad g_{\mathcal{W}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & & & \\
& \omega & & \\
& & \omega^{2} & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & & & \omega^{N-1}
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$$
P=H_{N_{1}} \times H_{N_{2}} \times H_{N_{3}}
$$

\% Several D6-branes:

$$
P=H_{d_{1}} \times H_{d_{2}} \times H_{d_{3}}
$$

$$
d_{i}=\text { g.c.d. }\left(I_{a b}^{i}, I_{b c}^{i}, I_{c a}^{i}, \ldots\right)
$$

## D6-branes on $T^{6}$

$\%$ This flavor group constrains the Yukawa couplings:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{i j k} \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow i+j+k=0 \bmod d \\
Y_{i j k}=Y_{i+\frac{I_{a b}}{d} j+\frac{I_{b c}}{d} k+\frac{I_{c a}}{d}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Cremades, Vanües. 7.7m. '03


-------- brane c

## Magnetized D-branes

\% Dual example: $\mathbf{U}(1)$ gauge theory compactified on $\mathbf{T}^{2}$, with a gauge field strength background in the extra dimensions

$$
F_{2}=2 \pi M d x \wedge d y \quad \Rightarrow \quad A=\pi M(x d y-y d x)
$$

\% The magnetization breaks (gauges) the translational isometry, because A changes as we move on $\mathbf{T}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(x+\lambda_{x}, y\right)=A(x, y)+\pi M \lambda_{x} d y \\
& A\left(x, y+\lambda_{y}\right)=A(x, y)-\pi M \lambda_{y} d x
\end{aligned}
$$



## Magnetized D-branes

$\%$ Dual example: $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge theory compactified on $\mathrm{T}^{2}$, with a gauge field strength background in the extra dimensions

$$
F_{2}=2 \pi M d x \wedge d y \quad \Rightarrow \quad A=\pi M(x d y-y d x)
$$

$\%$ The magnetization breaks (gauges) the translational isometry, because A changes as we move on $\mathbf{T}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\lambda_{j} D_{j}} i D_{k} e^{-\lambda_{j} D_{j}}=i D_{k}+\lambda_{j} F_{j k} \\
& e^{\mu_{j} B_{j}} i D_{k} e^{-\mu_{j} B_{j}}=i D_{k}+\mu_{j} \delta_{j k} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{St}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=a, b}\left\{\left(\partial_{\mu} \xi_{x, \alpha}+m_{\alpha} V_{\mu}^{y}-B_{\mu}^{x}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{\mu} \xi_{y, \alpha}-m_{\alpha} V_{\mu}^{x}-B_{\mu}^{y}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& e^{\frac{D_{x}}{M}} \psi^{j} \rightarrow e^{2 \pi i \frac{j}{M}} \psi^{j} \\
& e^{\frac{D_{y}}{M}} \psi^{j} \rightarrow \psi^{j+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ discrete Heisenberg flavor symmetry
(twisted torus isometries)

## Beyond tori

\& This is all very nice, but $\mathrm{T}^{6}$ is not a good example of compactification manifold because one cannot build stable chiral D-brane models.
$\uparrow$ One should add O-planes
$\downarrow$ One should add curvature $\rightarrow$ generic CY (example: $\mathbf{T}^{6} / \Gamma$ )
\& Problem: CY's do not have continuous isometries, so we cannot apply our 4d calculations to find the flavor group

## Beyond tori

$\%$ This is all very nice, but $\mathrm{T}^{6}$ is not a good example of compactification manifold because one cannot build stable chiral D-brane models.
$\uparrow$ One should add O-planes
$\uparrow$ One should add curvature $\rightarrow$ generic CY (example: $\mathrm{T}^{6} / \Gamma$ )
\% Problem: CY's do not have continuous isometries, so we cannot apply our 4d calculations to find the flavor group
$\therefore$ Idea: Revisit $\mathbf{T}^{2}$ case and understand flavor group in terms of symmetries


$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(1) \times U(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{3} \\
& \mathbf{P}^{\text {bulk }} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{P}^{\text {bulk+brane }}
\end{aligned}
$$

\% Apply the same for CY or $\mathbf{T}^{6 / \Gamma}$

## Discrete Flavor Symmetries in orbifolds

## Flavor in $\mathrm{T}^{6} / \mathrm{Z}_{2} \times \mathrm{Z}_{2}$

\% Simple example of orbifold: $\mathbf{T}^{6} / \mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}$

- Allows for chiral $N=1$ models

Dudas, Tirmigaziu'05
$\downarrow$ Allows for D-branes with no moduli
\% Isometry group broken to $\mathbf{Z}_{2}{ }^{6}$ by the orbifold action
\% Rigid D6-branes go through fixed points




## Flavor in $T^{6} / Z_{2} \times Z_{2}$





$\%$ Breaking pattern for isometries on $\mathbf{T}^{2} / \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}}$

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \overbrace{a b}^{\rightarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \overbrace{d \text { even }}^{\rightarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

## Flavor in $T^{6} / Z_{2} x Z_{2}$

\% The same applies to the B-field transformations
$\because$ Final flavor group: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \simeq \mathrm{D}_{4}$
$\%$ For $\mathbf{T}^{6} / \mathbf{Z}_{2} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{Z}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{P}=D_{4}^{\left[d_{1}-1\right]} \times D_{4}^{\left[d_{2}-1\right]} \times D_{4}^{\left[d_{3}-1\right]}$

$$
d_{i}=\text { g.c.d. }\left(2, I_{a b}^{i}, I_{b c}^{i}, I_{c a}^{i}, \ldots\right)
$$

If in $a \mathbf{T}^{2}$ all intersection numbers are even we have a $D_{4}$ factor

## Flavor in $\mathrm{T}^{6} / \mathrm{Z}_{2} \times \mathrm{Z}_{2}$

\% The same applies to the B-field transformations
$\because$ Final flavor group: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \simeq \mathrm{D}_{4}$
$\therefore$ For $\mathbf{T}^{6} / \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Z}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{P}=D_{4}^{\left[d_{1}-1\right]} \times D_{4}^{\left[d_{2}-1\right]} \times D_{4}^{\left[d_{3}-1\right]}$

$$
d_{i}=\text { g.c.d. }\left(2, I_{a b}^{i}, I_{b c}^{i}, I_{c a}^{i}, \ldots\right)
$$

If in $\mathrm{a} \mathbf{T}^{2}$ all intersection numbers are even we have a $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ factor
\% Remarks:
$\uparrow$ D6-branes through same fixed points $\leftrightarrow$ twisted tadpoles
$\downarrow l_{a b}=$ even does not imply even number of families


$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\text {even }}^{j} & =\psi^{j, N}+\psi^{N-j, N} \\
\psi_{\text {odd }}^{j} & =\psi^{j, N}-\psi^{N-j, N}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Flavor in $T^{6} / Z_{2} x Z_{2}$

\% Representations:

$$
\psi_{a b}^{j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}}=\psi_{a b}^{j_{1}} \cdot \psi_{a b}^{j_{2}} \cdot \psi_{a b}^{j_{3}}
$$

| $\left\|I_{a b}^{i}\right\|$ | $\psi_{\text {even }}^{j_{i}} \quad \operatorname{dim}=\left\|I_{a b}^{i}\right\| / 2+1$ | $\psi_{\text {odd }}^{j_{i}} \quad \operatorname{dim}=\left\|I_{a b}^{i}\right\| / 2-1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 s+2$ | $\stackrel{s+1}{\oplus} \mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{8}{\oplus}}{ } \mathbf{R}_{2}$ |
| $8 s+4$ | $\stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(+,+) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(+,-) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(-,+) \stackrel{s}{\oplus}(-,-)$ | $\stackrel{s}{\oplus}(+,+) \stackrel{s}{\oplus}(+,-) \stackrel{s}{\oplus}(-,+) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(-,-)$ |
| $8 s+8$ | $\stackrel{s+2}{\oplus}(+,+) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(+,-) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(-,+) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(-,-)$ | $\stackrel{s}{\oplus}(+,+) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(+,-) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(-,+) \stackrel{s+1}{\oplus}(-,-)$ |




## Examples

\% 4-generation Pati-Salam from Blumenhagen, Cuetic, 7.M. Shiu. 05

| $N_{\alpha}$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{1}, m_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{2}, m_{\alpha}^{2}\right)$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{3}, m_{\alpha}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{a_{1}}=4$ | $(1,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,-1)$ |
| $N_{a_{2}}=2$ | $(1,0)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(4,-1)$ |
| $N_{a_{3}}=2$ | $(-3,2)$ | $(-2,1)$ | $(-4,1)$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
U(4) \times U(2)_{L} \times U(2)_{R} \\
\downarrow \\
S U(4) \times S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

| Sector | Field | $D_{4}^{(1)}$ | $D_{4}^{(2)}$ | $D_{4}^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1} a_{2}$ | $F_{L}=(\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1})$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $(-,-)$ |
| $a_{1} a_{2}^{\prime}$ | $F_{L}^{\prime}=(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $(-,-)$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ |
| $a_{1} a_{3}$ | $F_{R}=(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $(-,-)$ |
| $a_{2} a_{3}$ | $H=(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \overline{\mathbf{2}})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{1} \oplus(+,-) \oplus(-,+)$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $a_{2} a_{3}^{\prime}$ | $H^{\prime}=(\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \overline{\mathbf{2}})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{2} \oplus(+,-) \oplus(-,+) \oplus(-,-)$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y:\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) \otimes\left(a_{1} a_{3}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right) \longrightarrow(\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \otimes(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}) \\
Y^{\prime}:\left(a_{1}^{\prime} a_{2}\right) \otimes\left(a_{1} a_{3}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2}^{\prime} a_{3}\right) \longrightarrow(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \otimes(\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \overline{\mathbf{2}})
\end{gathered}
$$

## Examples

\% 4-generation Pati-Salam from Blumenhagen, Cuetic, 7.M. Shiu. 05

| $N_{\alpha}$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{1}, m_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{2}, m_{\alpha}^{2}\right)$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{3}, m_{\alpha}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{a_{1}}=4$ | $(1,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,-1)$ |
| $N_{a_{2}}=2$ | $(1,0)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(4,-1)$ |
| $N_{a_{3}}=2$ | $(-3,2)$ | $(-2,1)$ | $(-4,1)$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
U(4) \times U(2)_{L} \times U(2)_{R} \\
\downarrow \\
S U(4) \times S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

| Sector | Field | $D_{4}^{(1)}$ | $D_{4}^{(2)}$ | $D_{4}^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1} a_{2}$ | $F_{L}=(\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1})$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $(-,-)$ |
| $a_{1} a_{2}^{\prime}$ | $F_{L}^{\prime}=(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $(-,-)$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ |
| $a_{1} a_{3}$ | $F_{R}=(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $(-,-)$ |
| $a_{2} a_{3}$ | $H=(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \overline{\mathbf{2}})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{1} \oplus(+,-) \oplus(-,+)$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $a_{2} a_{3}^{\prime}$ | $H^{\prime}=(\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \overline{\mathbf{2}})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{2} \oplus(+,-) \oplus(-,+) \oplus(-,-)$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y:\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) \otimes\left(a_{1} a_{3}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right) \longrightarrow(\mathbf{4}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \otimes(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}) \rightarrow \text { only } 3 \text { indep couplings } \\
Y^{\prime}:\left(a_{1}^{\prime} a_{2}\right) \otimes\left(a_{1} a_{3}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2}^{\prime} a_{3}\right) \operatorname{for} \tan (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \otimes(\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \overline{\mathbf{2}})
\end{gathered}
$$

## Examples

## \% 3-generation Pati-Salam

| $N_{\alpha}$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{1}, m_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{2}, m_{\alpha}^{2}\right)$ | $\left(n_{\alpha}^{3}, m_{\alpha}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{a}=4$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,-1)$ |
| $N_{b}=2$ | $(n,-3)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(3,-1)$ |
| $N_{c}=2$ | $(l,-1)$ | $(-2,1)$ | $(-1,-1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sector | Fields | $D_{4}$ |  |
| $a b$ | $F_{R}=(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ |  |
| $a b^{\prime}$ | $F_{R}^{\prime}=(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1})$ | $(-,-)$ |  |
| $a c$ | $F_{L}=(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}})$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ |  |
| $a c^{\prime}$ | $F_{L}^{\prime}=(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$ | $(+,+)$ |  |
| $b c$ | $H=(\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{2})$ | $(-,-) \oplus(-,-)$ |  |
| $b c^{\prime}$ | $H^{\prime}=(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ | $\oplus$6 <br> $\oplus$ |  |

$$
\begin{gathered}
U(4) \times U(2)_{L} \times U(2)_{R} \\
\downarrow \\
S U(4) \times S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R} \\
Y: a b \otimes a c \otimes b c \\
Y^{\prime}: a b^{\prime} \otimes a c \otimes b c^{\prime} \longrightarrow(\overline{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}) \otimes(\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}) \otimes(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) . \\
8 \text { indep couplings }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conclusions

\& We have analyzed appearance of discrete flavor symmetries in D-brane models. In toroidal models, they can be read from BF couplings of closed string $U(1)$ 's to open string axions.
\& In orbifold models we need a new approach: we first consider the group Pbulk that leaves the closed string background invariant and then the subgroup $\mathbf{P}$ that also leaves D-branes invariant.

## Conclusions

\% We have analyzed appearance of discrete flavor symmetries in D-brane models. In toroidal models, they can be read from BF couplings of closed string $U(1)$ 's to open string axions.
\& In orbifold models we need a new approach: we first consider the group $P^{b u l k}$ that leaves the closed string background invariant and then the subgroup $\mathbf{P}$ that also leaves D-branes invariant.
\& $P$ will act non-trivially on open string zero modes and generate a non-Abelian flavor group $\rightarrow$ forbid Yukawa couplings beyond $Z_{k}$ 's. We can also define the approximate discrete symmetry Pabc
\% We have analyzed the case of $\mathbf{T}^{6} / Z_{2} \times Z_{2}$, obtaining a flavor group given by $D_{4}$ and tensor products of it.
\% This definition of flavor group is quite general and can be applied to any manifold with discrete symmetries in the closed string sector, like e.g. smooth Calabi-Yau compactifications.

